



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/038,674

12/31/2001

John Erven Jenne

H052617.1130US0

9034

7590

02/09/2005

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

Intellectual Property Administration

P.O. Box 272400

Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

PITARO, RYAN F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2174

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/038,674	Applicant(s) JENNE ET AL.	
	Examiner Ryan F Pitaro	Art Unit 2174	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,9-21 and 27-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,9-21 and 27-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4, 9-21, and 27-34 have been examined.

Response to Amendment

2. This communication is responsive to Amendment A, filed October 25, 2004.
3. Claims 1-4, 9-21, and 27-34 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, and 32 are independent claims. In the Amendment A, Claims 1 and 11 were amended, Claims 5-9 and 22-26 were canceled, and Claims 28-34 were added as new. This action is made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1,2,4,11-16,20,21,27,31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamano et al ("Hamano", US 2002/0166127).

As per independent claim 1, Hamano discloses a method of displaying a commercial message on a display device of a computer during a user waiting time, the method comprising: selecting the commercial message from a non-volatile memory ([0032] lines 8-11); and displaying the selected commercial message on the display

device during the user waiting time, wherein the user waiting time includes waiting time associated with at least one of the computer entering sleep mode, the computer waking from sleep mode, a virus scan, and a disk scan ([0029] lines 1-8).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1 Hamano discloses a method wherein the commercial message comprises an advertisement message ([0029] lines 1-3).

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1, Hamano discloses a method wherein the step of selecting the commercial message further comprises the steps of: tracking internet information associated with the computer ([0034] lines 1-6); and choosing the commercial message to download to the non-volatile memory from a website based on the internet information ([0034] lines 6-12).

As per independent claim 11, Hamano discloses a computer system for displaying a commercial message comprising: a display device (Figure 4 item 411); a processor coupled to the display device (Figure 4 item 401); and a memory coupled to the processor and containing code adapted to display the commercial message on the display device ([0046] lines 3-8) during a user waiting time, wherein the user waiting time includes waiting time associated with at least one of the computer system entering sleep mode, the computer system waking from sleep mode, a virus scan, and a disk scan ([0029] lines 1-8).

As per claim 12, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses a system wherein the memory comprises a flash read-only memory (ROM) ([0047] lines 4-8).

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses a system wherein the memory comprises a hard drive ([0020] lines 1-5; *personal computers including hard drives*).

As per claim 14, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses a system wherein the memory is updated with the commercial message from the Internet when the computer is connected to a website ([0034] lines 1-3).

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses a system wherein the code comprises Basic Input/Output System (BIOS) code ([0030] lines 1-6).

As per claim 16, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses a system wherein the code comprises a commercial messaging application ([0030] lines 1-6).

As per claim 20 which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses s a system wherein the commercial message is selectively saved for future display on the display device ([0034] lines 9-12).

As per claim 21, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses a system wherein the type of commercial message is user selectable ([0052] lines 6-10).

As per claim 27, which is dependent on claim 1, Hamano discloses a method further comprising: tracking user preferences; and downloading additional commercial messages to the non-volatile memory that are tailored to the user's interests based on the tracked user preferences ([0034] lines 6-12).

As per claim 31, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano discloses a system wherein the memory is further adapted to store a frequency weight to determine frequency of displaying the commercial message, the frequency weight having a value

assigned according to a revenue generating capacity of the commercial message ([0036] lines 1-5).

As per independent claim 32, Hamano discloses a system to display commercial messages, comprising: a display device; a basic input/output system (BIOS) routine executable to display a first commercial message on the display device during a boot-up period ([0030] lines 1-6); an operating system ([0035] lines 9-11); and an application executable, after loading and execution of the operating system, to display a second commercial message on the display device during a user wait period ([0035] lines 11-16).

As per claim 33, which is dependent on claim 32, Hamano teaches a system wherein the user wait period includes a wait period associated with at least one of the system going into sleep mode, the system exiting sleep mode, a virus scan, a file download, and a disk scan ([0029] lines 1-8).

As per claim 34, which is dependent on claim 32, Hamano teaches a system wherein further comprising a memory to store a frequency weight to determine frequency of display of the second commercial message, the frequency weight having a value assigned according to a revenue generating capacity of the second commercial message ([0036] lines 1-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2174

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 9,10,17,18,19,28,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamano et al ("Hamano", US 2002/0166127) in view of Petrecca et al ("Petrecca", US# 5781894).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Hamano fails to disclose displaying a message according to a weight. However, Petrecca teaches a method wherein the commercial message is displayed for a duration according to a time weight assigned to the commercial. (Column 1 lines 64-67). Therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the method of Hamano with the current teaching of Petrecca. Motivation to do so would have been to provide the user with a message, which would not cause an extended waiting time by waiting for the commercial message to finish.

As per claim 10, which is dependent on claim 1, Hamano fails to disclose displaying a message according to a frequency weight. However, Petrecca teaches a method wherein the commercial message is repeated according to a frequency weight assigned to the commercial message (Column 3 lines 14-17). Therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the method of Hamano with the current teaching of Petrecca. Motivation to do so would have been to provide the user with a variety of messages to prevent the loss of interest.

As per claim 17, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano fails to distinctly point out disabling the commercial message. However, Petrecca teaches a system wherein

Art Unit: 2174

displaying the commercial message in the memory is selectively disabled (column 4 lines 51-52). Therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the method of Hamano with the current teaching of Petrecca. Motivation to do so would have been to provide the user with an opportunity to rid of the commercial messages.

As per claim 18, which is dependent on claim 11 Hamano-Petrecca teaches a system wherein deletion the commercial message in the memory is selectively enabled (Petrecca, Column 4 lines 52-53).

As per claim 19, which is dependent on claim 11, Hamano-Petrecca teaches a system wherein display of the commercial message is selectively disable (Petrecca, Column 4 lines 48-53).

As per claim 28, which is dependent on claim 1, Hamano fails to distinctly point out an alternate type of user wherein the user has an option to disable the messages. However, Petrecca teaches determining whether the user is a premium user (Column 3 lines 49-55); in response to determining that the user is a premium user, providing an option to the user to disable display of the commercial message (Column 3 lines 49-51). Therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the method of Hamano with the current teaching of Petrecca. Motivation to do so would have been to provide the user with an opportunity to rid of the commercial messages while other non-premium users lack the option.

As per claim 30, which is dependent on claim 10, Hamano discloses a method further comprising assigning the frequency weight to the commercial message based on a revenue generating capacity of the commercial message ([0036] lines 1-5).

8. Claims 3 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamano et al ("Hamano", US 2002/0166127) in view of Kreynin et al ("Kreynin", US# 6067570).

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Hamano fails to disclose a message, which includes productivity enhancement tips. However, Kreynin teaches a method wherein the commercial message comprises productivity enhancement tips for the computer (Column 8 lines 56-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Hamano's method with Kreynin's teaching. Motivation to do so would have been to benefit from the updating of standard screens that are presented to the PC operator during times of waiting (Column 8 lines 56-60).

As per claim 29, which is dependent on claim 1, Hamano fails to distinctly point out providing a password to enable updating of advertisements. However, Kreynin teaches a method further comprising providing a password to enable secure updating of the non-volatile memory with a password (Column 13 lines 38-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Hamano's method with Kreynin's teaching. Motivation to do so would have been to prevent any non-certified updates from being downloaded.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 9-21, and 27-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan F Pitaro whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 2174

4071. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm Monday through Thursday, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Pitaro
Art Unit 2174
Patent Examiner

RFP

Kristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100